

PSPO Consultation Feedback and responses.

A 3 week period dating between Monday 6th March and Monday 27th March 2023

Number of letters-0

Number of Emails- 14

Other- 0

	Date	Comments
1	3 rd March 2023	<p>I would like to comment on this. It would be beneficial to expand the geographical area to include all of Burton Joyce. I live on [REDACTED] and are woken most nights in the early hours of the morning with cars travelling at very high speeds, loud enough to wake me. The impact on people/homes in the village if one of these cars should leave the road is unthinkable.</p>
2	4/3/2023	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I have read the documents relating to the above on GBC website.</p> <p>I'm seriously concerned that Shearing Hill, Main Rd Arnold in Gedling have been missed off the boundary. This area is often used by these boy racers.</p> <p>I have had to, on numerous occasions report boy racing and other similar incidents to Notts Police and Cllr Hollingsworth/Cllr Adams over the past 5 years. Not to mention having near misses.</p> <p>So, I'm extremely surprised that this area has not been included in the proposed PSPO boundary.</p> <p>I was wondering if you could explain why this area is not a priority? I feel I do my part by reporting these issues and I'm sure the local community share the same opinions/concerns.</p> <p>I look forward to your reply.</p>
3	4/3/2023	<p>Kevin Nealon.</p> <p>I understand you are dealing with the proposed PSPO that deals with anti social behaviour in and around Colwick. At a resident of [REDACTED], we are affected by the car cruising activities.</p> <p>Is it possible to include the act of urinating on the pavement/in the street as an additional item in the PSPO. The act of urinating in Ramblers Close happens more frequently than the car cruising.</p> <p>We have reported the occurrences to the police, GBC antisocial behaviour team, Cllr David Ellis and Cllr Lawrence. The incidents are supposedly investigated but no action is ever take.</p> <p>Thanks</p>
4	4/3/23	<p>I do hope you are keeping well and thank you so much for taking forward the new PSPO.</p> <p>As per the consultation period, please could you clarify if the Motor Vehicle references made also covers the off road motorbikes/trail bikes and children's motorbikes.</p> <p>We have the same issue as everywhere else in respect of the motorbikes at</p>

		<p>the moment , being driven at high speed on dog walking paths to and on the rectory park, wheelies on the Colwick loop road and Mile End road and private road. Also we have experienced aggressive and verbal behaviour from the motorcyclist riders .</p> <p>Can this behaviour be added to the order?</p> <p>Your help is much appreciated.</p>
5	5/3/23	<p>I wish to comment on the proposed PPSO. Firstly can I add our thanks that, hopefully, residents can get some protection from the annoyance caused by these drivers. However I note that the proposed area does not include shearing hill, main road and Arnold lane within its boundaries. I feel that this is a potential mistake in that they can still use that route as a race track as has been previously noted. The traffic on this route, whilst helped by the Relief road, is still not as low as residents had been led to believe. In fact the more lorries that use the relief road the better the shearing hill route becomes for racers. We already have instances of cars speeding along this without any consideration for all the side entrances and minor roads that feed onto it. Hopefully the above points can be taken into consideration. Regards</p>
6	5/3/23	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>As a resident of Main Road I wish to comment on the proposed zone.</p> <p>I suggest that the whole of Arnold Lane from Mapperley Plains down to Main Road, Main Road from the Memorial Hall to Shearing Hill and Shearing Hill to Burton Road be included in the zone. If these are excluded the traffic banned from Colliery Way will move to these roads.</p> <p>Part of the purpose of the Gedling Access Road was to increase the quality of life for local residents and to relieve these roads of loud, speeding vehicles such as car cruisers as well as heavy lorries.</p> <p>Failure to do this will return the roads described above to pre GAR days, so wasting the money spent and work put into creating the new road.</p>
7	6/3/23	<p>On reading the information on the above we are certainly happy that something is being done as this area has suffered for years. The P.S .P. O needs to include shearing Hill ,Arnold Lane and Lambley Lane as we have already lived through this misery!! All that will happen is they will revert back to coming up shearing Hill. We can't live through this nightmare again. The G.A .R led us to believe we would be free of lorries ,car transporters and huge container this has not happened so our village is left to suffer. As we have lived on Shearing Hill for over 40 years we deserve more consideration . W our like a reply please.</p>
8	6/3/23	<p>Dear GBC,</p> <p>I am writing fully in support of a PSPO around Gedling, Netherfield, and Colwick.</p> <p>I live in NG4 3FX, and night after night we hear the racers accelerating loudly. I have occasionally seen them too, and their style of driving looks very unsafe, as well as noisy and dangerous for the environment and wildlife. I have seen them near Burton Road Jubilee Cricket Field, where a few have met up and covered the car park in litter. Mainly, I am worried that if this continues, there will be serious accidents.</p>

		<p>I would urge you to include all of Arnold Lane and Main Road in the protected area too. Otherwise, I foresee that they will simply race along Arnold Lane instead, and this will be even worse for Gedling residents.</p> <p>Protection from these racers couldn't come soon enough for me.</p>
9	7/3/23	<p>Dear Kevin,</p> <p>We have recently been made aware that GBC are proposing protection areas to reduce the issues regarding speeding vehicles and other anti-social behaviours with vehicles.</p> <p>Having viewed the proposed area for the protection order, I just which to enquire why the boundary doesn't encompass the area of Stoke Bardolph alongside the river Trent on the towpath around to Netherfield Lagoons? This is an area that regularly experiences off road bikes/quad bikes etc travelling at high speed and with very noisy engines. They use the Severn Trent lanes and dirt tracks on the agricultural land that link with the towpath and footpaths in the area. It appears the Severn Trent land is covered by the plan (not accessible by car) but not the adjacent land. Is this something that could be amended to ensure it covers all problem areas?</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>
10	7/3/23	<p>Dear Kevin,</p> <p>Regarding the proposed PSPO in the Netherfield Colwick Loop/Burton Joyce area.</p> <p>I would think anyone who complains or is against this is probably a supporter of cruising / boy racers. My thoughts are do it, asap. I cannot understand why something like this even requires a consultation.</p> <p>Thanks</p>
11	7/3/23	<p>Dear Kevin Nealon,</p> <p>Hope you are well.</p> <p>We have lived at [REDACTED] for 8 Years which lies within the area of the proposed PSPO.</p> <p>We welcome this proposed initiative as gradually, over the last 8 years, the situation has become a living hell and unbearable to the point where we will be selling our house in the summer. We have spent considerable time and money modernising our home as we thought we would be living here for the rest of our lives but that is no longer possible.</p> <p>Our road is used as a race track every single night of the week and has become far too dangerous to even consider walking into the village with our grandchildren. These cars and bikes are travelling well over 100mph at times in a 40mph zone which is unacceptable and it is only a matter of time before there are fatalities.</p> <p>I have raised the issue with the Police, the traffic commissioner, the road safety team and our local councilors and to date, nothing has happened and it appears this will not change until there have been several fatalities but we are not prepared to continue living under these conditions.</p> <p>The Police have cited operation reacher as the solution but i can assure you, as i have them, the issue is not at lunchtime which is when they have sent out</p>

		<p>officers to carry out speed checks, the issue is after 19:00hrs.</p> <p>The boy racers with the ridiculous, backfiring cars are just part of the issue. There is another issue which is not only dangerous but also a health issue and that is the articulated trucks operated by Sarval and their contractors. These trucks are relentless, day & night, speeding through Burton Joyce carrying waste material not fit for human consumption and one occasion, spilling their load on the roads and public footpaths. We were left amazed one night when we witnessed one of these trucks overtaking a car putting other road users and the general public at risk. Due to the volume of potholes on Nottingham Road, when these trucks pass over them, their tipper bodies are banging so loud they wake you up at all hours of the morning and night and actually make the house shake. I believe the Sarval operation at Stoke Bardolph should be downgraded to a restricted operators licence preventing them from running this operation through a residential area between sunset and sunrise.</p> <p>I hope this PSPO is granted at the very least and believe the above should also be considered for average speed cameras on Nottingham Road between Colliery way and Lowdham along with the restricted operators licence for the Sarval operation.</p> <p>Best regards</p>
12	10/3/23	<p>Hello, this is a message to Kevin Nealon, Community Protection Manager Public Protection re the boy racer public space protection. After last night's activities by boy racers on Gedling Country Park carpark I am requesting that this area be included in the order.</p> <p>I live opposite the Gedling Country Park carparks at Crimea Farm, last night a small number of "racers" were there for over an hour (between 10.10pm & 11.20pm), they were causing excessive noise, wheel spinning, revving, aggressive acceleration, back firing and shouting. I called the police (incident number 778-09032023) and they said they would attend if they were able, unfortunately they didn't arrive.</p> <p>Their behaviour is not only anti social but also a nuisance to our farm as we have pregnant cattle in our barns that are directly across the road. I have spoken with Sally at GBC just now and sent a short video on WhatsApp.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>Update - they returned the following evening (Friday, approx 9pm) making the same excessive noise, I called 101 and a police car came out not long after, the officer spoke to the lads and they exited soon after. Let's hope this has been nipped in the bud. It does highlight the fact that contrary to GBC belief the gate system stops entry at night that cars can gain entry through the "exit only" side (the police car also used this to enter).</p> <p>I would also like to add Lambley Lane & Spring Lane to the public space protection order (as well as GBC carpark).</p> <p>Regards</p>
13	21/3/23	<p>Hi there,</p> <p>As a resident of Colwick I'd like to share my thoughts on the above. Although I am quite a few roads away from where most of the behaviour takes place, I can hear it very easily from my garden. I am concerned about the noise pollution as well as the air pollution that this is causing. I would be supportive of measures to manage this behaviour but I believe it should be implemented</p>

		<p>in tandem with physical interventions such as traffic calming measures. I appreciate that it is Nottinghamshire County Council that manages the highway but I would urge you to work in partnership with them to help solve this ongoing problem.</p> <p>The carriageway on the loop road is much wider than it needs to be, narrowing the lane width would make people drive more slowly and it would be less desirable as a racetrack. A PSPO is only effective if it is enforced, whereas traffic calming measures do not require police resources and manage themselves following implementation. Other traffic calming measures in addition to reducing the lane width could be raised tables at junctions and crossings, tighter corner radii and more street decorations including trees, flower beds, rain gardens creating a feeling of enclosure. These measures would not only mitigate the "boy-racers" but also improve street conditions the rest of the time where people often speed down the loop road and create an unpleasant atmosphere for vulnerable road users such as pedestrians.</p>
14	21/3/23	<p>Hi</p> <p>I wish to support the proposal for the PSPO.</p> <p>Regards</p>